



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|---------|------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 09/708,572 11/09/2000 | | 11/09/2000 | Noriyuki Ito | 1614.1093 2299 | |
| 21171 | 7590 | 11/14/2002 | | | |
| STAAS & I | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 700 11TH ST SUITE 500 | REET, N | 1W | SIEK, VUTHE | | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20001 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 2825 | |
| | | | | DATE MAIL ED: 11/14/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 12 / | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | • | | ITO ET AL. | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | 09/708,572 | Art Unit | V — | | | | |
| | Office Action Cammary | Examiner | 2825 | | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication and | Vuthe Siek | | ddress | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) 🖾 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28. | <u> August 2002</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | <u> </u> | nis action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| - | Claim(s) 1 and 5 is/are pending in the applica | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | wn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) 🗌 | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1 and 5</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| , — | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| • — | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers | or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| ,— | The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 November 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| a)[| ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documen | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documen | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 14) 🗌 A | acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest | tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | (e) (to a provision | al application). | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal | ry (PTO-413) Paper N Patent Application (P | | | | | |
| S Patent and T | rademark Office | | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/708,572

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to application 09/708,572 and restriction response filed on 8/28/2002. Claims 1 and 5 are elected and claims 2-4 and 6-8 are canceled.

Drawings

2. Figures 1-4 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishiyama et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,519,630).
- 5. As to claims 1 and 5, Nishiyama et al. teach the claim limitations of a computer program method for processing of hierarchically configured design data at least in Fig. 23a (col. 20, lines 50-67; col. 21, lines 1-28) comprising a) obtaining first design data of a predetermined rank of hierarchy; b) obtaining second design data of a rank of hierarchy higher than the predetermined rank of hierarchy; and c) combining the second design data to the first design data.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application/Control Number: 09/708,572

Art Unit: 2825

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vuthe Siek whose telephone number is (703) 305-4958.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) 2nd Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on (703) 308-1323. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Vuthe Siek Primary Examiner November 5, 2002

> VUTHE SIEK DRIMARY EXAMINER

BEST AVAILABLE COPY